

UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA

FACULTY OF GEOGRAPHY, TOURISM AND SPORT



Str. Universității Nr. 1, Pav. C, 410087 Oradea, Bihor, <u>http://geografie-uoradea.ro/geografie turism si sport/GTS.htm</u> Phone: 0259-408124, Fax: 0259- 408428 www.uoradea.ro;

OWN COMPETITION PROCEDURE TO FILL VACANT TEACHING AND RESEARCH POSTS INDEFINITELY

FACULTY OF GEOGRAPHY, TOURISM AND SPORT

The procedure was approved at the Senate meeting on

1. PURPOSE OF THE PROCEDURE

This own procedure regulates how to organize, conduct and finalize the public competitions for the indefinite occupation of vacant teaching and research posts in the staff of the departments of the Faculty of Geography, Tourism and Sport, University of Oradea.

2. REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

- Law on higher education no. 199/2023;
- H.G. no. 1339/2023 on the approval of the Framework Methodology for the competition to fill vacant teaching and research posts in higher education.
- Own competition methodology for vacant teaching and research posts at the University of Oradea

3. ABBREVIATIONS

UO - University of Oradea;

- SUO Senate of the University of Oradea;
- HS Decision of the Senate of the University of Oradea;
- PMA Prorector responsible for Academic Management;
- CA Board of Directors;
- DE Economic Directorate;
- SRU Human Resources Service;

CNATDCU - National Commission for Attestation of University Degrees, Diplomas and Certificates.

FGTS - Faculty of Geography, Tourism and Sport

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROCEDURE

4.1. General aspects

Art.1 - (1) The filling of a vacant teaching or research post in UO, FGTS, is realized through open competition. Permanent employment, in any teaching or research position, is possible only for the candidate who has obtained the highest score in the public competition organized by UO through FGTS. (2) Romanian or foreign citizens may participate in the competition for a teaching or research post, without any discrimination, under the conditions of the law.

Art.2 - (1) The FGTS own competition procedure for filling vacant teaching and research posts, hereinafter referred to as the own procedure, provides minimum requirements for filling vacant teaching and research posts in UO, FGTS, in accordance with the national minimum standards approved according to the provisions of art.156 of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and additions.

(2) The own procedure may not refer to seniority and may not contain discriminatory provisions relating to persons from outside the institution or the country in relation to persons from within the institution or the country.

(3) The standards for teaching and research positions provided for in art. 200 of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and completions, are minimum and mandatory requirements for application to the competition for the respective positions.

(4) The FGTS may set additional minimum standards of presentation in addition to the minimum standards set in accordance with the provisions of Article 156 para. (1) lit. a and para. (2) of the Law on Higher Education No. 199/2023, as amended and supplemented.

(5) FGTS may not establish by its own procedure or by other documents the equivalence of a candidate's fulfillment of the national minimum standards for the positions of university Assistant Professor and university Full Professor, by standards, criteria or indicators different from those provided for by the national minimum standards, approved by order of the Minister of Education, according to the provisions of Article 156 paragraph. (1) lit. a of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and additions.

(6) The own procedure contains provisions on the settlement of appeals within the UO, respectively FGTS.

4.2. Minimum conditions for filling vacant teaching and research positions at the University of Oradea, Faculty of Geography, Tourism and Sport

Art.3 - (1) In UO, respectively FGTS, the teaching positions are:

a) university assistant;

b) university lecturer/chief of academic works;

c) university Assistant Professor;

d) university Full Professor .

(2) The UO shall publish all the posts advertised, together with the competition syllabus, at least two months before the competition. The publication of the open competition posts shall be made at least on its own website and on a specialized website managed by the Ministry of Education.

(3) It is forbidden for spouses, relatives up to and including the third degree, to occupy at the same time positions in which each is in a direct position of institutional management, control, authority or evaluation, at any level, within the UO.

(4) Violation of the provisions of para. (2) and (3) shall lead to the invalidation of the competition and to the sanctioning of the guilty parties on the basis of the provisions of the Framework Methodology, of the own Methodology of the competition for filling vacant teaching and research posts in UO and of the present procedure.

(5) Filling teaching positions, evaluation, motivation, continuous training and dismissal of teaching and research staff are the responsibility of the UO, based on the legislation in force, the Framework Methodology, the UO's own competition methodology for filling vacant teaching and research positions in the UO, the present procedure and the University Charter.

(6) A person may not hold a teaching position in higher education if he/she has been convicted by a final criminal judgment for intentionally committing an offense for which he/she has not been rehabilitated.

(7) In order to take up a post or within 2 years of taking up a post, higher education teaching staff shall complete a university program of psycho-pedagogical training for higher education, of 30 ECTS/SECT transferable study credits.

(8) By exception to the provisions of para. (7), graduates of a psycho-pedagogical training program corresponding to a number of 60 ECTS/SECT transferable study credits may also apply for a teaching post in UO.

Art.4 - (1) The minimum requirements and standards for the employment as a teaching **assistant** are the following:

- a) the candidate must hold a doctoral degree/diploma or be enrolled in a doctoral study program, without exceeding the maximum period of study, which includes the extensions admissible by law;
- b) publication of at least 1 paper (article, study), in extenso, in specialized journals or in volumes of national or international scientific events,

(2) The minimum requirements and standards for the academic post of **university lecturer/head of** academic work are the following:

a) holding a doctoral degree/diploma;

- b) publication of at least 5 papers (articles, studies), in extenso, in specialized journals or in volumes of national or international scientific events,
- c) elaboration, at least in electronic format, of a specialized teaching material, posted on the UO elearning platform (e.uoradea.ro), in the field of the disciplines of the post, or a specialized book, in the field of the post;

(3) The requirements and standards for the academic position of **university Assistant Professor** are the following:

- a) holding a doctoral degree/diploma;
- b) fulfillment of the national minimum standards for the position of university Assistant Professor, standards approved according to art. 156 of the Law on higher education no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and additions;
- c) for the field of Sports Science and Physical Education achievement of minimum 120 points according to the evaluation grid in Annex 4B to this procedure.
- d) for the field of Geography achieving a minimum of 30 points according to the evaluation grid in Annex 4C to this procedure.
- (4) The requirements and standards for the academic position of **university Full Professor** are as follows: a) holding a doctoral degree/diploma;
 - b) holding a cortificate of competence;
 - c) fulfillment of the minimum standards for the position of university Full Professor, standards approved according to art. 156 of the Law on higher education no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and additions;
 - d) for the field of Sports Science and Physical Education achieving a minimum of 160 points according to the evaluation grid in Annex 4B to this procedure.
 - e) for the field of Geography achieving a minimum of 50 points according to the evaluation grid in Annex 4C to this procedure.

Art.5 - (1) In UO, respectively FGTS, the research functions are:

- a) research assistant;
- b) scientific researcher;
- c) scientific researcher grade III;
- d) scientific researcher grade II;
- e) scientific researcher grade I.

(2) The equivalence of research functions with teaching functions operates in both directions, only with the approval of the University Senate, and is as follows:

- a) research scientist is equivalent to assistant professor for persons holding a doctoral degree;
- b) scientific researcher grade III is equivalent to university lecturer/head of works;
- c) scientific researcher grade II is equivalent to university Assistant Professor;
- d) scientific researcher grade I is equivalent to university Full Professor .

(3) Within the UO, respectively FGTS, in addition to other conditions established by law, the position of scientific research assistant may be occupied only by persons who are doctoral students or who hold a doctoral degree.

(4) Within the UO, respectively FGTS, in addition to other conditions established by law, the positions of scientific researcher, scientific researcher grade III, scientific researcher grade II and scientific researcher grade I may be occupied only by persons holding a doctoral degree.

(5) The minimum conditions for applying for a vacant research post shall be established by the UO, respectively FGTS, depending on the specifics of the post and in relation to the national minimum standards, approved according to art. 156 para. (2) of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, as amended and supplemented, and according to the provisions of Law no. 319/2003 on the Statute of Research and Development Personnel, as amended and supplemented, as applicable.

4.3. Organization and conduct of the competition for vacant teaching and research posts in higher education institutions

4.3.1. General framework

Art.6 - (1) UO, respectively FGTS, may organize a competition to fill a teaching or research post only if it is declared vacant.

(2) A post shall be considered vacant if it is so provided for in the annual establishment plan or if it becomes vacant during the academic year.

(3) The vacancy shall be filled in one of the following ways:

- a) termination of the individual employment contract, according to the law;
- b) transfer of the person occupying the post to another post within the same higher education institution, as a result of winning a competition or following promotion in the teaching career, under the conditions of the law.

(4) Teaching and research posts may not be advertised by converting an occupied post into a higher-ranking post.

Art.7 - (1) The UO, respectively FGTS, may organize a competition for the indefinite term employment of a teaching or research post, only after the Ministry of Education has published the vacancy in the Official Gazette of Romania, Part III.

(2) In order to be published in the Official Gazette of Romania, Part III, UO shall submit the following documents to the Ministry of Education:

- a) the list of posts proposed for competition and their structure, signed and stamped by the Rector;
- b) the excerpt from the list of positions containing the posts advertised, signed by the rector, the dean and the head of department or head of the doctoral school;
- c) in the case of teaching posts, the rector's affidavit certifying that all the teaching posts proposed to be advertised have in their structure only subjects from the curricula of the legally established specializations / study programs, including the form of education and the place of their implementation;
- d) own competition methodology.

(3) The request to the Ministry of Education for the publication in the Official Monitor of Romania, Part III, of the positions advertised in the Official Journal of Romania, shall be submitted within 30 working days from the beginning of each semester of the academic year.

(4) The Ministry of Education verifies the submitted documentation and the structure of the posts, in relation to the legal provisions in force, and forwards it to the Official Monitor of Romania, in order to publish the proposed posts.

(5) The competition procedures may be launched only after the publication in the Official Gazette of Romania, Part III, of the posts advertised. The first competition test must be scheduled at least two months after the publication of the notice in the Official Gazette. The application period for the competition begins on the day of publication of the notice in the Official Journal of Romania, Part III, and ends 15 working days before the first competition test.

(6) The proposal to organize a competition for a vacancy shall be made by the director of the department or the head of the doctoral school in the structure of which the post is located, by a report endorsed by the department council or the council of the doctoral school and the faculty council.

(7) The list of positions proposed for filling by competition is approved by the faculty council, approved by the dean and submitted to the UO Board of Directors for approval, according to art. 139 letter d) of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and additions.

(8) The announcements concerning the competition for vacant teaching and research posts shall be published, including:

- a) prominently displayed on the home page of the UO website;
- b) on a specialized website, administered by the Ministry of Education, called the webpage of the competition for vacant teaching and research posts in higher education.

(9) The following information will be published on the platform administered by the Ministry of Education, dedicated to the publication of teaching and research vacancies:

- a) a brief description of the post advertised;
- (b) the teaching load and the types of activities included in it, in the case of teaching posts, the research load, in the case of research posts, and other duties specific to the post advertised;
- c) the minimum salary corresponding to the post advertised;

d) the competition timetable;

- (e) the subjects of the competition tests, including any lectures, courses or the like, or the subjects from which the selection board may choose the subjects of the tests actually taken;
- f) description of the competition procedure;
- g) the full list of documents that candidates must include in their application file;
- h) the address to which the competition file must be sent.

(10) Advertisements for the posts of university Assistant Professor, university Full Professor, research scientist grade II and research scientist grade I will also be published in English.

Art.8. - (1) On the UO website will be published within 5 working days after the end of the application period, in compliance with the protection of personal data in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation), for each candidate, information as follows:

(a) curriculum vitae;

b) the verification sheet on the fulfillment of minimum requirements and standards;

c) complete list of works.

(2) The same data are uploaded by the UO and on the competition webpage administered by the Ministry of Education.

Art.9 - (1) Competitions are open.

(2) The competition methodology may not discriminate against candidates on grounds of sex, ethnic or social origin, nationality, religion or belief, disability, political opinion, social or economic status.

(3) The description of the post advertised will be made in comprehensive terms, corresponding to the real needs of the UO, respectively FGTS, taking care not to artificially limit the number of potential candidates.

Art.10. - (1) Persons shall be considered to be involved in the competition procedure if:

- a) participate in the decision-making process regarding the appointment of the selection board;
- b) are members or alternate members of the selection board;
- c) are involved in professional or administrative evaluation decisions in the competition;
- d) are involved in settling appeals.

(2) Persons who are in one of the situations referred to in Article 201 para. (4) of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, as amended and supplemented.

(3) If, following the winning of a competition by a candidate, one or more persons from the UO are to be in a situation of incompatibility according to the provisions of Article 3 para. (3) of this Methodology, the appointment to the post and the granting of the academic title by the UO or of the professional researchdevelopment degree may take place only after the incompatibility situation(s) has been resolved. The method of resolving the incompatibility situation shall be communicated to the Ministry of Education within two working days of the resolution.

4.3.2. Entering the competition

Art.11. - (1) In order to apply for the competition for a teaching and research post, the candidate shall prepare a printed application file containing the following documents (Annex 2):

- a) the application form, signed by the candidate, including a sworn statement concerning the veracity of the information provided in the application file (Annex 1);
- b) a proposal for the development of the candidate's academic career, both from a teaching point of view, in the case of teaching posts, and from the point of view of scientific research activities; the proposal is to be drafted by the candidate, it is to be no longer than 10 pages and is one of the main criteria for the selection of candidates;
- (c) a curriculum vitae signed by the candidate (Europass model);
- d) the list of the candidate's works, signed by the candidate;
- e) the verification sheet for the fulfillment of the minimum requirements and standards (*Annex 3A for assistant and lecturer posts; Annexes 3A, 3B and 4B* for Assistant Professor /Full Professor posts in the field of Sport Science *or Annexes 3A, 3C and 4C* for Assistant Professor /Full

Professor posts in the field of Geography). The standards imposed at UO level, i.e. FGTS, may not deviate from the national minimum standards approved in accordance with Art. 156 para. (1) letter a) and para. (2) of the Law on Higher Education No. 199/2023, as amended and supplemented. The verification sheet is completed and signed by the candidate;

- f) documents relating to the possession of the doctor's degree: the original copy of the doctor's degree and, if it was obtained abroad, the original copy of the certificate of its recognition or equivalence;
- g) for candidates for the position of university Full Professor, a copy of the order of the Minister attesting the qualification, and if it was obtained abroad, the certificate of its recognition or equivalence by the Romanian state;
- h) the abstract in Romanian and in a language of international circulation of the doctoral thesis and, if applicable, of the habilitation thesis, on maximum one page for each language;
- i) declaration on the candidate's own responsibility indicating the situations of incompatibility provided by the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and additions, in which he/she would be in if winning the competition or the absence of such situations of incompatibility;
- j) in the cases referred to in Art. (5) and (6) of this Methodology, certified true copies of the originals, attesting the possession of the respective medical titles;
- k) copies of other diplomas certifying the candidate's studies (baccalaureate, bachelor's degree, master's degree);
- copies of the diploma supplements, transcripts or school reports issued for each cycle of studies completed;
- m) a copy of the identity card or, if the candidate does not have an identity card, a copy of the passport or another identity document issued for an equivalent purpose;
- n) if the candidate has changed his/her name, copies of the documents proving the change of name, i.e. marriage certificate or proof of change of name;
- o) a maximum of 10 publications, patents or other works of the candidate, in electronic format, selected by him/her and considered to be the most relevant to his/her professional achievements;
- p) for the candidates for the position of university Assistant Professor /researcher scientific grade II, respectively university Full Professor /researcher scientific grade I: 3 letters of recommendation, according to art. 13 of this methodology;
- q) criminal record certificate;
- r) certificate of behavioral integrity;
- s) medical certificate, issued on a specific form, adopted by joint order of the Minister of Education and the Minister of Health;
- t) medical opinion for the exercise of the teaching profession, issued in accordance with the provisions of the joint order of the Minister of Education and the Minister of Health;
- u) consent to the processing of personal data by UO.
- (2) The candidate's curriculum vitae must include:
 - a) information on studies and diplomas obtained;
 - b) information about professional experience and relevant previous jobs;
 - c) information on the R&D projects that he/she has led as project director and the grants obtained, if any, indicating for each source of funding, the volume of funding and the main publications or patents resulting;
 - d) information about awards or other recognition of the candidate's scientific contributions.

(3) The documents referred to in para. (1) shall be submitted both in letter format and electronically, with the entire content scanned. The list of papers, CV and the Check Sheet (Annexes 3A, 3B, 4B or 3A, 3C, 4C - depending on the situation) shall be scanned as separate files, not exceeding 10 MB together. The scanned documents will not contain any personal data of the applicant (photo, National ID, home address, signature, etc.). Also, all Annexes 3 and 4 submitted (A,B and/or C) will be in .docx and .pdf searcheable format, without signature, with functional link to the justifications/documents of the activities/actions included in the sheets.

(4) The application file shall be compiled by the candidate and submitted to the address of the higher education institution specified on the competition website, directly or by courier services that allow confirmation of receipt. The application file is sent to the members of the selection board as from the

closing date for the submission of applications, but no later than 5 working days before the first test of the competition.

Art.12. - (1) The competition file will contain the works, articles/studies, publications realized by the candidate, relevant in the field of the disciplines of the post, and the list of these will be structured as follows:

- a) a list of the maximum 10 works considered by the candidate to be the most relevant to the field of the disciplines of the post for which he/she is applying;
- b) doctoral thesis or theses;
- c) patents and other industrial property titles;
- d) books and chapters in books;
- e) articles/studies in extenso, published in journals in the main international scientific stream;
- f) publications in extenso, published in the proceedings of the main international specialized conferences;
- g) other scientific papers and contributions/sporting performances obtained as a coach;

(2) In addition, candidates may introduce in the electronic file a file/folder containing other information on their own activity, which is not included in the documents to be submitted, but which relates to the assessment criteria mentioned in Art. 16, paragraph. 2; all this information must be certified by the candidate by scanned documents/justifications/documents and/or functional links.

Art.13 - (1) Candidates for the posts of university Assistant Professor or scientific researcher grade II must include in the competition file at least three letters of recommendation, regarding the professional qualities of the candidate, of personalities in the respective field, from the country (outside UO) or from abroad.

(2) Candidates for the posts of university Full Professor or research scientist grade I must include in their application file at least three letters of recommendation, concerning the candidate's professional qualities, from personalities in the field in question from abroad.

Art.14. - (1) The fulfillment by a candidate of the legal requirements for applying for the competition is certified by the opinion of the legal department of the UO.

(2) The legal opinion shall be issued by the specialized department, after the resolution of the scientific committee on the verification of the information in the verification sheet on the fulfillment of minimum requirements and standards, provided for in Article 11 paragraph. (1) letter e) of this Methodology. The Scientific Commission is appointed by decision of the Rector, at the proposal of the UO Administrative Council.

(3) The legal opinion and the resolution of the scientific committee shall be communicated to the candidate no later than 48 hours after the issuance of the legal opinion and the resolution of the scientific committee, but at least 5 working days before the first test of the competition.

4.3.3. Conduct of the competition

Art.15 - (1) Competitions shall be held no later than 45 days after the end of the registration period. (2) The competition consists of the evaluation of the scientific activity and teaching skills of candidates for teaching posts and the evaluation of the scientific activity and research skills of candidates for research posts.

Art.16. - (1) Candidates who fulfill the legal requirements for admission to the competition and have received a favorable legal opinion will be invited by the UO to take the tests. The date, time and place of the tests are announced on the UO website.

(2) The selection board shall evaluate the candidate against the following criteria:

- (a) the relevance and impact of the candidate's scientific achievements in relation to the subject area of the post for which he/she is applying;
- b) the candidate's ability to mentor students or young researchers;
- c) the candidate's teaching skills, in the case of teaching posts;

- d) the ability of the candidate to transfer his/her knowledge and results to the economic or social environment or to popularize his/her own scientific results;
- (e) the candidate's ability to work in a team and the effectiveness of his/her scientific collaborations, depending on the specifics of the candidate's field;
- f) the candidate's ability to conduct research and development projects;
- g) professional experience in institutions other than UO.

(3) In the situation where the position concerned is that of assistant/head of works/university reader, the competition committee is obliged to verify and ascertain, from the point of view of didactic and scientific relevance, the fulfillment of the standards for the occupation of teaching positions, specific to the position, approved by the university senate, according to the law.

(4) Where the post concerned is that of university Assistant Professor /Full Professor, the selection board is required to verify and ascertain that the candidate meets the minimum standards and additional requirements in terms of teaching and scientific relevance. The minimum standards and additional requirements may not deviate from the national minimum standards approved in accordance with Art. 156 para. (1) lit. a) of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, as amended.

(5) In the case of research posts, the competition committee has the obligation to verify and ascertain the fulfillment by the candidate of the national minimum standards for the award of professional researchdevelopment degrees approved according to Article 156 paragraph. (2) of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, as amended and supplemented.

(6) The criteria set out in para. (2) shall be assessed on the basis of the competition file and, where appropriate, additionally by one or more competition tests, which may include, according to the provisions of the faculty's own procedure: lectures, lectures, seminars, etc.

(7) For academic assistant posts, the competition will include a written test and/or a practical test (seminar, laboratory, project or other field-specific). The subject of the practical test will be set by the chair of the selection board, in consultation with the members of the selection board, and will be announced at least 3 working days before the test on the competition website, together with the date, time and place of the competition, inviting all candidates to the tests.

(8) For the posts of lecturer/head of studies, university Assistant Professor and university Full Professor, at least one of the competition tests shall consist of a didactic lecture. This test must include a question session by the selection board. The subject of the didactic lecture is set by the chair of the selection board, in consultation with its members, and is announced at least 3 working days before the test on the competition website, together with the date, time and place of the competition, inviting all candidates to the competition, thus inviting all candidates to the tests.

(9) If the competition is held outside the teaching activity, the didactic lecture may also be held in the absence of the students.

4.3.4. Selection board: constitution and duties

Art.17 - (1) The composition of the competition committee for each post put up for competition shall be determined after the publication in the Official Gazette of Romania, Part III, of the notice of the competition.

(2) The selection board is composed of 5 members, including its chairperson, specialists in the field of the subject of the post advertised or in related fields.

(3) At least 2 alternate members (one of which must be from outside the UO, for the posts of Full Professor or Assistant Professor) shall be included in the composition of the selection board. In case a member is unavailable to participate in the work of the board, the member concerned shall be replaced by the alternate member, appointed according to the same procedure as the members of the board.

(4) The council of the department or doctoral school in the structure of which the post is located shall make proposals for the nominal composition of the competition committee.

(5) On the basis of the proposal referred to in para. (4), the dean shall request the opinion of the faculty council on the nominal composition of the selection board.

(6) The nominal composition of the competition committee, together with the opinion of the faculty council, shall be submitted to the University Senate for approval. Following the approval by the University Senate, the selection board is appointed by decision of the Rector.

(7) Within two working days after the Rector's decision is issued, the decision shall be forwarded to the Ministry of Education and the nominal composition of the competition committee shall be published on the competition website. For the posts of university Assistant Professor, university Full Professor, scientific researcher grade II and scientific researcher grade I, UO shall publish the composition of the competition committee in the Official Gazette of Romania, Part III.

Art.18 - (1) The decisions of the selection board are taken by open vote of its members. A decision of the committee is valid if it has received the votes of at least 3 members of the committee.

(2) The work of the selection board shall be conducted by the chairman.

(3) The members of the committee may be from inside or outside the UO, from home or abroad.

(4) To fill a post of university Assistant Professor, university Full Professor, scientific researcher grade I or scientific researcher grade II, at least 3 members of the committee must be from outside the UO, from the country or abroad.

(5) The members of the selection board must have a teaching or research title higher than or at least equal to that of the teaching/research position corresponding to the post advertised or, for members from abroad, meet the minimum standards corresponding to the teaching/research position corresponding to the post advertised.

(6) For the exclusive purpose of participation in the competition committee, the teaching or research titles of the foreign members will be equated with the teaching or research titles in the country, on the basis of which the university senate approves the nominal composition of the competition committee.

(7) The chairman of the selection board may be:

- a) the head of the department or the head of the doctoral school in which the post is located;
- b) the dean or vice-dean of the faculty where the post is located;
- c) a tenured UO teacher, a specialist in the field of the disciplines of the position or in a related field, delegated for this purpose by the vote of the department council, respectively of the faculty council organizing the competition.

(8) An alternate chairperson (who may also serve as an alternate member of the selection board) will be appointed when the chairperson of the selection board is appointed.

4.3.5. Competition results

Art.19 - (1) Following the evaluation process, the selection board establishes, for each post, the ranking of the candidates and nominates the candidate who has obtained the best results.

(2) The chairman of the selection board draws up a report on the competition (Annex 5) on the basis of the assessment reports (Annex 4) drawn up by each member of the selection board and in accordance with the ranking of candidates decided by the board.

(3) The competition report is signed by each member of the competition committee.

(4) The result of the competition will be published on the competition website within 2 working days after the end of the competition.

(5) The competition files, including the report on the competition and the evaluation reports of the committee members, are submitted to the Dean of the faculty, who organizes their discussion by the faculty council. In order to validate the competition, the presence of at least two thirds of the members of the Faculty Council is required.

(6) The Faculty Council reviews the compliance with the procedures and gives its opinion on the competition report from this perspective. Any vote against or abstention will have to be justified and shall have the right of veto in the event of evidence of violation of the legal procedures in force. The ranking of candidates established by the Selection Board cannot be changed by the Faculty Council.

(7) On the basis of the decision of the faculty council, an extract of the minutes of the faculty council meeting shall be drawn up, to which a copy of the convocation of the meeting (with the signatures of all those present) shall be attached. These documents are added to each candidate's file.

(8) The University Senate analyzes the compliance with the procedures established by the UO's own methodology and by the present procedure and approves or not the report on the competition. The ranking of candidates established by the competition committee may not be modified by the University Senate.

4.3.6. Appeals Board: constitution and tasks

Art.20 - (1) If a candidate possesses elements that may demonstrate non-compliance with the evaluation procedure based on the criteria set out in Article 16 para. (2) of the own competition Methodology for filling vacant teaching and research posts in the UO and/or non-compliance with the FGTS own procedure regarding the organization and conduct of the competition, the candidate may lodge an appeal within 3 working days from the communication of the result.

(2) The appeal shall be formulated in writing, registered at the UO's registry and forwarded to the Appeals Committee for resolution.

(3) The Appeals Committee analyzes the issues raised by the candidate in his/her appeal, which it resolves within 2 working days of its registration and on which it completes the report on the competition (Annex 5). The decision will be published on the competition website within two working days of the date on which the appeal is lodged.

(4) The selection boards shall be set up at the same time and following the same procedure as when the composition of the selection board was established.

(5) The members of the selection board may not be part of the appeals board.

(6) Following the approval by the University Senate, the Appeals Committee is appointed by decision of the Rector.

4.3.7. Appointment to post

Art.21 - (1) Following the approval of the result of the competition by the University Senate, the Rector shall issue a decision for the appointment and the award of the corresponding academic title to the successful candidate. The appointment to the post is made starting with the semester following the semester in which the competition was held.

(2) Appointment decisions and the award of the corresponding academic title for the winning candidates, accompanied by a summary report on the organization and conduct of the competitions within the UO, shall be transmitted within 5 days of issuance, in electronic format / on electronic media, with a forwarding address to the National Commission for the Attestation of University Degrees, Diplomas and Certificates (CNATDCU), through the specialized directorate of the Ministry of Education.

4.3.8. Organizing and conducting competitions to fill research vacancies in higher education institutions

Article 22 - (1) By exception to the provisions of Article 15 para. (1) and Art. (2) of this methodology, the provisions of Law no. 319/2003, with subsequent additions, shall apply to research posts. (2) In order to conduct competitions for research positions within the UO, respectively FGTS, the tasks

provided by Law no. 319/2003, with subsequent additions, are performed as follows:

- a) those provided for the scientific council of the institution by the faculty council;
- b) those established for the Board of Administration of the institution by the University Senate;
- c) those provided for the scientific secretary or scientific director of the unit, by the director of the department, the head of the doctoral school, the dean or the vice-dean, according to their own methodology.

4.4. Final and transitional provisions

Art.23.- If the position advertised has not been filled, the competition may be repeated, in full compliance with the competition procedure, in the following semester or academic year, as appropriate.

Art.24 - (1) The result of the competition shall be published on the UO website within two working days after the end of the competition. The result of the competition shall also be uploaded on the electronic platform managed by the Ministry of Education.

(2) The UO shall prepare annually, no later than September 1, a summary report, in electronic format, on the organization, conduct and completion of competitions for teaching and research posts. The report is sent for notification to the CNATDCU, through the specialized directorate of the Ministry of Education.

Art.25 - (1) The heads of departments, deans of faculties and the rector are accountable to the university senate for the proper conduct of competitions for teaching and research positions, in compliance with the quality, ethics and university deontology norms and the legislation in force.

(2) If irregularities are found, the university senate may apply sanctions specified in its own regulations, including the dismissal of department heads and deans, upon a justified proposal of the board of administration or the rector or ex officio, with their advice.

(3) In the case of non-compliance with the legal provisions in the procedure for filling teaching and research posts, the Ministry of Education may apply the sanctions provided by law, based on a report prepared by the CNATDCU.

(4) If the courts of law find that the procedures of the competition for teaching and research positions in the UO have been violated, the competition shall be canceled and shall be reopened.

Article 26 - (1) Placement in a teaching position is subject to the presentation of a medical certificate issued on a specific form, adopted by joint order of the Minister of Education and the Minister of Health. The opinions required for the exercise of the profession are established by joint order of the Minister of Education and the Minister of Health, which shall be published in the Official Gazette of Romania, Part I.

(2) Retention in a teaching post is subject to a periodic medical check-up. The periodicity and the granting of permits for the exercise of the profession shall be regulated by the order referred to in para. (1), and the funds required for these checks shall be provided from the state budget.

(3) Teaching staff who consider themselves wronged may request an assessment of their ability to work in the field of education.

(4) In situations of professional unfitness of a psycho-behavioral nature of the staff employed, the UO may request, at the request of any factor involved in the educational process, by decision of the Administrative Board, a new full medical examination.

(5) Situations of professional unfitness of a psycho-behavioral nature are analyzed and established by a committee of 3-5 members, medical specialists, established at the level of the university center on the basis of a protocol concluded between the Ministry of Education and the Ministry of Health, which carries out the expertise of the ability to work in the field of education.

(6) The refusal of the teaching staff to attend the medical check-up constitutes a disciplinary misconduct and may lead to the termination of the individual employment contract.

Art. 27 - (1) This methodology shall enter into force starting with sem. II of the academic year 2023-2024.

Approves RECTOR, Annex 1 to the Competition Methodology for filling teaching and research posts

MR. RECTOR,

I, the und	dersigned				, bor	m on (d	ay, month,
year) in	the town	,	residing in	the town		str	
nr ble	ock	block	sc	ap	floor	county	
telephone		, identi	ty card nut	nber	serial numbe	r	issued
by	0	n	, grad	uate of the H	Faculty		,
program of studie	s		, class	, with	the general	average	and
average /	in the bac	helor's / mast	er's final ex	am, employe	ed by		in the
position of		,					
please approve my	y application	to take part	in the con	petition to	fill the post	of	,
position, subje	ect						
at the Department				Faculty			
I am a	Doctor of	Science si	nce		(Order	ME) in	the field
of							

Being aware of the provisions of art. 326 of the New Penal Code regarding false statements, I declare on my own responsibility that the information submitted in the competition file is true and the data provided correspond entirely to reality.

Date.....

Signature.....

Note: Successful candidates will conclude a full-time employment contract with the University of Oradea for an indefinite period.

То

Management of the University of Oradea

We certify that your registration for the competition is legal **LEGAL OFFICE**

Date.....Signature.....

UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA

Annex no. 2 to the Competition Methodology for filling teaching and research posts

OPIS

COMPETITION FILE for teaching and research posts

CANDIDATE DATA

Numele	Prenumele	CNP	
Post applied for		Position	
Disciplinele			
Departamentul			
Facultatea			

1. In order to apply for the competition for a teaching and research post, the candidate shall prepare a file containing the following documents:

Nr. crt.	DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED	FR OM	NO
1.	Application to apply for the competition, signed by the candidate, including a sworn statement concerning the accuracy of the information provided in the application file - standard model (<i>Annex 1</i>)		
2.	Proposal to develop the candidate's academic career, both in terms of teaching and scientific research activities		
3.	Curriculum vitae, according to the Framework Methodology, Art. 11, para. (1) and (2)		
4.	List of works, according to the Framework Methodology, Art. 12		
5.	Form verifying that you meet the minimum requirements and standards for filling the post (<i>Annex 3A for Assistant and Lecturer posts; Annexes 3A, 3B and 4B</i> for Assistant Professor / Full Professor posts in the field of Sport Science <i>or Annexes 3A, 3C and 4C</i> for Assistant Professor / Full Professor posts in the field of Geography)		
6.	Copy of the doctor's degree, and if it was obtained abroad, copy of the certificate of recognition or equivalence of the same by the Romanian state - the original document must be submitted for conformity		
7.	For candidates for the position of university Full Professor, a copy of the minister's order attesting the qualification, and if it was obtained abroad, a copy of the certificate of recognition or equivalence of the same by the Romanian state - the original document must be submitted for conformity		
8.	The abstract of the doctoral thesis and, if applicable, of the habilitation thesis, in Romanian and in an international language, maximum one page for each language		
9.	Declaration on the candidate's own responsibility indicating the situations of incompatibility foreseen by the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023 in which he/she would be in if he/she won the competition or the absence of such situations of incompatibility (<i>Annex 6</i>)		

	Copies of other diplomas or certificates of recognition attesting to the candidate's studies:	
10.	baccalaureate, bachelor's degree, master's degree - original documents must be submitted	
	for conformity	
11.	Copies of the diploma supplements, transcripts or school reports issued for each cycle of	
11.	studies - original documents must be submitted for conformity	
	Copy of the identity card or passport or other identity document issued for an equivalent	
12.	purpose as the identity card or passport - original documents must be presented for	
	compliance	
	Copies of documents proving the change of name in case the candidate	
13.	has changed his/her name: marriage certificate or proof of change of name - original	
	documents to be submitted for compliance	
	A maximum of 10 publications, patents or other works selected by the candidate and	
14.	considered to be most relevant to his/her professional achievements - in electronic format	
	only	
	At least three letters of recommendation (in accordance with the Framework	
	Methodology, Art. 13) from personalities in the field of the post:	
15.	- from abroad - for candidates for university teaching posts;	
	- from the country (outside the UO) or from abroad - for candidates for the posts of	
	university lecturer	
16.	Criminal record certificate	
17.	Certificate of Behavioral Integrity	
10	Medical certificate, issued on a specific form adopted by joint order of the Minister of	
18.	Education and the Minister of Health	
10	Medical opinion to exercise the teaching profession, issued in accordance with the	
19.	provisions of the joint order of the Minister of Education and the Minister of Health	
20.	Consent to processing of personal data by UO (Annex 7)	
L		

2. If there are works that are not available in printed format or cannot be scanned, records or photographs should be submitted.

3. A scanned CD/DVD/memory stick or other electronic support, with its entire contents scanned, must be attached to the competition file for transmission to the selection board and uploaded to the website. The list of papers, CV and Check Sheet (Annexes 3A, 3B, 4B or 3A, 3C, 4C - depending on the situation) should be scanned as separate files, not exceeding 10 MB together. The scanned documents will not contain any personal data of the applicant (photograph, National Identity Card, home address, signature, etc.). Also, all Annexes 3 and 4 submitted (A,B and/or C) will be in .docx and .pdf searcheable format, without signature, with functional link to the justifications/documents of the activities/actions included in the sheets.

Checked on application S.R.U.

Candidate

UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA

Annex 3A to the Competition Methodology for filling teaching and research posts

CHECK SHEET

COMPLIANCE WITH MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS

for teaching and research posts

I DATA ABOUT THE CANDIDATE

SURNAME	FI	RST		NA	AME			
Surname	_ CNP		Post	for	which	you	are	applying
	Disciplines		_					
Position in the Staff	Department							_ Faculty
Current teaching grade		_ Positi	on	in	the	Staff	Re	egulations
Disciplinele								
Departamentul		Facultatea	·					
Universitatea								

II DATA CONCERNING THE FULFILLMENT OF COMPETITION CONDITIONS

1. Bachelor's and Master's degrees

No. crt.	Higher education institution	domain	Period	Title granted

2. Doctoral studies

No. crt.	Doctoral organizing institution	domain	Period	Scientific title granted

3. *Postdoctoral studies and fellowships*

No. crt.	Organizing institution	domain	Period	Obs.

4. Teaching/professional grades

No. crt.	Institution	Domain	Period	Title/ teaching position/ professional grade

III DATA ON FULFILLMENT OF REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS (delete any lines that do not concern the post for which you are applying)

1. University assistant

- a) the candidate must hold a doctoral degree/diploma or be enrolled in a doctoral study program, without exceeding the maximum period of study, which includes the extensions admissible by law;
- b) publication of at least 1 paper (article, study), in extenso, in specialized journals or in volumes of national or international scientific events,

MET / NOT MET

2. University lecturer/head of research

- a) holding a doctoral degree/diploma;
- b) publication of at least 5 papers (articles, studies), in extenso, in specialized journals or in volumes of national or international scientific events,
- c) elaboration, at least in electronic format, of a specialized teaching material, posted on the UO e-learning platform (e.uoradea.ro), in the field of the disciplines of the post, or a specialized book, in the field of the post;

MET / NOT MET

3. University Assistant Professor

- a) holding a doctoral degree/diploma;
- b) fulfillment of the national minimum standards for the position of university Assistant Professor, standards approved according to art. 156 of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and additions (Annex 3B for the field of Sports Science and Physical Education or Annex 3C for the field of Geography)
- c) for the field of Sports Science and Physical Education achievement of minimum 120 points according to the evaluation grid in Annex 4B to this procedure.
- d) for the field of Geography achieving a minimum of 30 points according to the evaluation grid in Annex 4C to this procedure.

MET / NOT MET

4. University Full Professor

- a) holding a doctoral degree/diploma;
- b) holding the certificate of competence;
- c) fulfillment of the minimum standards for the position of university Full Professor, standards approved according to art. 156 of the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023, with subsequent amendments and additions (Annex 3B for the field of Sports Science and Physical Education or Annex 3C for the field of Geography)
- c) for the field of Sport Science and Physical Education achieving a minimum of 160 points according to the evaluation grid in Annex 4B to this procedure.
- d) for the field of Geography achieving a minimum of 50 points according to the evaluation grid in Annex 4C to this procedure.

MET / NOT MET

I hereby confirm that the above mentioned data are true and refer to my own professional and scientific activity.

Date _____

Candidat _____

Certification MEET / DO NOT MEET minimum standards,

Date _____

Presient comisie_____

DATA ON THE FULFILLMENT OF THE NATIONAL MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR THE POST OF UNIVERSITY LECTURER domain SPORT SCIENCE AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION

Definitions, conditions, procedures

Only scientific achievements are taken into account, i.e. elements of visibility and impact relevant to the field of psychology, educational sciences, sport science and physical education, respectively, or in areas bordering on these.

A publication falls under only one indicator, taking into account the most favorable ranking of the candidate.

Recognized international databases (BDI) are those gathered in Web of Science (WoS) (formerly known as ISI), as well as Scopus, PsycInfo, ERIC, PubMed / Medline, ERIH Plus / ERIH Int 1 - ERIH Int 2, DOAJ, Ebsco (Academic Search Premiere, SPORTDiscuss, etc.), ProQuest, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, Wiley Online Library, Sage, Ovid / Informa, Educational Research Abstracts Online, HEDBIB, CrossRef or JSTOR.

International conferences are scientific events that cumulatively fulfill at least four of the following criteria: (a) the conference is organized or co-organized by an international scientific/professional association or institution; (b) more than 50% of the members included in the scientific committee have institutional affiliation abroad; (c) the scientific program as well as the proceedings or abstracts are published in print or electronic format in a foreign language of international circulation (English, French, German or Spanish); (d) the conference proceedings are conducted exclusively in a foreign language of international circulation; (e) at least 25% of the participants with papers registered in the scientific program of the conference have institutional affiliation abroad. Conferences which do not meet the minimum criteria for such classification will have the status of national conferences.

BDI conferences or publications refer to those scientific events, i.e. publications, indexed in one or more international databases recognized by these standards.

Books, book chapters or collective volumes of conferences are classified in category A1 (publications published by internationally prestigious publishers), category A2 (publications published by publishers of recognized prestige) or category B (publications published by other recognized publishers). WorldCat-indexed publications in the Karlsruhe Virtual Catalog KVK (http://www.ubka.uni-karlsruhe.de/kvk en.html) are classified A1 if they are held in at least 25 libraries of higher education institutions in the other Member States of the European Union or in the member states of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development. Publications indexed A2 or B are those which have either been published by one of the publishers listed in the following table or which fulfill the conditions of the complementary route. According to this route, a book/book chapter/volume is included in the A2 category if it meets at least two criteria, and in the B category if it meets at least one of the following criteria: (a) the publisher of the publication has at least one collection relevant to the fundamental field under review, with at least 10 scientific books published in the field in the last five years; (b) the publication under review is available in at least 5 libraries of higher education institutions in other Member States of the European Union or in Member States of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, indexed in the Karlsruhe Virtual Catalog KVK (http://www.ubka.uni-karlsruhe.de/kvk en.html); (c) the publication under review has been published in at least 800 printed copies or at least 500 printed copies, if it can be purchased in digital format; (d) the work has at least 5 citations in Web of Science indexed publications; (e) the work has been awarded by the Romanian Academy. Publications published by classified publishers may receive a score corresponding to a higher category if they fulfill the criteria specified by the complementary route for that classification level. Publications that do not meet the minimum criteria for classification are not scored. Publications published in multiple editions may be scored individually if changes/revisions are identified on a significant number of pages. Also, publications with different titles but similar content may be considered only once. In these cases the decision as to the marks awarded rests with the members of the competition/approval committees.

Physical Education and Sport

I1.A2 Publishers: Presa Universitară Clujeană, Riso Print Publishing House (Cluj-Napoca), Universitaria

Publishing House (Craiova); Polirom Publishing House (Iaşi), Galați University Press Publishing House; Transilvania University Publishing House (Brasov); Discobolul Publishing House (Bucharest), Piteşti University Publishing House, Ovidius University Press Publishing House (Constanta)
I2.Publishers B: Romanian Academy Publishing House, Trei Publishing House, University of Bucharest Publishing House, Bren Publishing House, Printech Publishing House, Morosan Publishing House, CD Press Publishing House, Fest Publishing House, Didactică și Pedagogică RA Publishing House (Bucharest); A.I.Cuza University Publishing House, Pim Publishing House (Iaşi); University of Oradea Publishing House; West University Publishing House (Timișoara)
*The categorization of publishers will be updated annually.

Education policy/strategy review reports are categorized into international reports and reports with national relevance. International reports cumulatively meet the following three criteria: (a) the report is written at the request of a prestigious international organization and is presented under the logo of that organization (e.g. UNICEF, UNESCO, World Bank, OECD, European Commission or its agencies, WHO, etc.); (b) the report is written entirely in a foreign language of international circulation; (c) the analysis carried out on the issue under investigation is internationally relevant (e.g. inter-country comparisons are presented). National reports meet two cumulative criteria: (a) the report is written at the request of an organization with national prestige and is presented under the logo of that organization (e.g. Ministry of Education, Save the Children, etc.), (b) the analysis of the problem under investigation is nationally relevant (e.g. comparisons at the level of development regions are presented or there is evidence of use at the level of public policy).

The grants considered in these standards refer to those contracts for the award of financial assistance, obtained following a call for proposals, with a nominal value of at least 25,000 euro or the equivalent in lei or another currency. Grants are further categorized: (i) by type of competition (international vs. national); (ii) by the main object of funding_(a) research with broad public relevance, grants usually funded by public agencies aiming at funding basic research or the RDI (research - development - innovation) sector (e.g. UEFISCDI etc.); (b) research with specific relevance such as in grants awarded by profit-oriented companies, non-governmental associations, foundations, etc.; (c) institutional development projects (e.g. providing services to beneficiaries included in a target group). By exception, the financial ceiling does not apply to research grants with broad public relevance, funded through national competitions prior to the PN II (National Plan for Research Development and Innovation). The members of the competition/qualification committee may decide to classify some institutional development grants as research grants, if there is evidence of substantial research activities being carried out through the grant in question.

Main author refers to any of the following four types of authorship: (a) sole author; (b) first author mentioned in the publication; (c) corresponding author mentioned in the publication; (d) the situation where it is explicitly stated in the publication that all authors have an equal contribution to the publication. In the case of physical education and sport, the last author mentioned may also have the status of main author.

Co-authorship refers to any case other than those mentioned above (e.g. second author on an article without being a corresponding author or stating that all authors have an equal contribution).

n indicates the number of authors of a publication.

For a number of indicators (books, review reports, conferences, grants, etc.) a multiplication coefficient $_m$ will

be applied where the calculation formula includes this coefficient. The values of m are:

m = 3, *if* the scored indicator has a clear international relevance (Web of Science indexed journals; volumes published in A1 rated publishers; international conferences; research grants with broad public relevance obtained through international competition; international review reports, etc.);

m = 1, if the scored indicator has national relevance - impact and prestige at national level (volumes published in A2 rated publishers; national conferences; journals indexed in two recognized BDIs other than WoS; research grants with broad public relevance obtained through national competition; national review reports, etc.);

m = 0.5, *if* the scored indicator has a secondary national relevance (volumes published in B-ranked publishers; journals indexed in a single recognized BDI other than WoS, etc.)

A threshold p of relevance for the impact factor (IF) of the journals considered for indicators I1, I2, I5 and I6 is set with the following values:

p = 1.00 for Psychology;

p = 0.10 for *the* fields of Education Sciences and Physical Education and Sport.

As an exception, in the field of Psychology, contributions to journals with an IF below the p threshold may be scored for indicators I1 and I5 respectively, provided that the journal in which the paper is published is above the median in the WoS in the category of classification (red or yellow area in the respective category).

Author's contributions in Web of Science indexed journals are considered only from the moment of their publication in Web of Science. The most advantageous IF for the candidate will be considered among the following three options: IF corresponding to the year of publication (e.g. SSCI 2012 for articles published in 2012), IF valid

for the journal at the date of publication of the article in WoS (e.g. SSCI 2010 for an article indexed in WoS in March 2012) or IF valid for the journal at the time of receipt of the manuscript (provided that this date is explicitly mentioned in the article).

The minimum standards are grouped into two areas: scientific achievements and visibility and impact. Within scientific achievements, the main indicators are differentiated to distinguish between significant contributions made as principal author and significant contributions made as co-author.

Each area has specific indicators and scores, reflected in a number of criteria to be achieved, with the caveat that all of these criteria must be met cumulatively in order for the appraisee to be considered to meet the minimum standards for the position being reported.

A1. SCIENTIFIC ACHIEVEMENTS

Indicator	Indicator name	Score	Autoe assessm ent (Total= no.x unit score)	Comments/ Justificatio ns
Signif	ficant scientific achievements as principal author	1	r.	
I1	Contributions <i>in extenso of article</i> or <i>review</i> type, published in journals indexed in the Web of Science (ISI), with an IF greater than or equal to <i>p</i> , realized as main author	3 + (3 x IF) On the article		
I2	Contributions <i>in extenso of article</i> or <i>review</i> type, published in journals indexed in Web of Science (ISI), whose IF is less than p or in journals not indexed in Web of Science (IF = 0), but indexed in at least two recognized international databases, at least one of which is <i>in extenso</i> (full-text), and done as main author	3 + IF On the article		
13	Books published as main author in A1 classified publishing houses or A2 (m A1 = 3; m A2 = 1)	12 x m On the map		
I4	Chapters in books published as main author in A1 or A2 (m A1 = 3; m A2 = 1)	3 x m By chapter		
Signif	ficant scientific achievements as co-author		-	
15	Contributions <i>in extenso of article</i> or <i>review</i> type, published in journals indexed in the Web of Science (ISI), with an IF greater than or equal to <i>p</i> , realized as co-author	$3 + [(3 \times IF) /n]$ On the article		
I6	Contributions <i>in extenso of article</i> or <i>review</i> type, published in journals indexed in the Web of Science (ISI), whose IF is less than p or in journals not indexed in the Web of Science (IF = 0), but indexed in at least two recognized international databases, at least one of which is <i>in extenso</i> (full-text), and made as co-author	(3 + IF) / n On the article		
I7	Co-authored books published in A1 or A2 (m A1 = 3; m A2 = 1)	12 x m/n On the map		
18	Chapters in co-authored books published in A1 or A2 (m A1 = 3; m A2 = 1)	3 x m/n By chapter		
Other	scientific achievements	•		
19	Works <i>in extenso</i> (<i>proceedings</i> type) indexed in WoS or other recognized BDI, realized as main author, published in the proceedings of international conferences, relevant to the field of habilitation, available in full-text format in at least one BDI (in case of I9 and I10, a maximum of two contributions / conference edition can be scored cumulatively)	1 By work		
I10	Papers <i>in extenso</i> (<i>proceedings</i> type) indexed in WoS or other recognized BDI, co-authored, published in the proceedings of international conferences, relevant to the field of habilitation, available in full-text format in at least one BDI	1/n By work		

I11	Other articles <i>in extenso</i> published as author/co-author in scientific journals, provided that the journals are indexed at abstract level in at least one recognized international database	1/n On the article	
I12	Books published as author/co-author in type B ($m B = 0,5$)	12 x m/n On the map	
I13	Chapters in books published as author / co-author in B-ranked publishers ($m B = 0.5$)	3 x m /n By chapter	
I14	Author / co-author of reports analyzing educational policies/strategies 14.1 international reports ($m = 3$); 14.2 national reports ($m = 1$)	8 x m/n On the report	
I15	Patents / copyrights / trademarks registered OSIM/ORDA, as a result of a scientific innovation approach in order to develop curricular materials, psychological or educational tests, motor / functional tests, specialized software, etc.	3/n On patent/copyri ght	

A2. VISIBILITY AND SCIENTIFIC IMPACT

I16	Citations of the candidate's publications in Web of Science	0,5	
	indexed papers (self-citations are excluded)	Per quote	
I17	Other citations of the candidate's publications (self-citations	m/10	
	are excluded)	per citation	
	I17.1 Available in works classified A1 ($m = 3$)		
	I17.2. Available in A2 works or in the Scopus database		
	(other than those already included in I16) $(m = 1)$		
	I17.3. Available in B-ranked papers or other academically		
	identifiable Google Scholar sources (other than those already		
	included) (m = 0.5)		
I18	Keynote speaker (plenary scientific communication) at	2 x m	
	international $(m = 3)$ / national $(m = 1)$ conferences	On the	
		conference	
I19	Member of the scientific committee (A) / Scientific referee	1 x m	
	for the evaluation and selection of conference papers (B) /	On the	
	Member of the organizing committee (C) / Symposium	conference	
	coordinator (Chair) (D) (one mark is required)		
	single quality / conference)		
	19.1 International conferences $(m = 3)$		
I20	19.2 National conferences ($m = 1$) President or executive committee member of an international	2 x m	
120	(m = 3) or national $(m = 1)$ professional association	2 x m By	
	(m = 5) of haronal $(m = 1)$ professional association	association	
I21	Awards and distinctions	4 x m	
121	I21.1. Awards for scientific work offered by prestigious	On the prize	
	international ($m = 3$) or national (CNCS, etc.) ($m = 1$)	On the prize	
	scientific institutions or scientific/professional associations (m		
	= 1) (not including travel grants or awards for articles in the		
	red, yellow, etc.)		
	I21.2. Obtaining prestigious results in the course of the		
	activity regarding the promotion of the country and Romanian		
	education (e.g. distinctions, medals received by athletes,		
	coaches, other specialists for results at the Olympic Games,		
	World Championships, EC, etc., offered by the Romanian		
	Presidency, MENCS, MTS, etc.)		
I22	Coordinator of a book collection	6	
		By collection	
I23	Domain relevant coordinate map ($m A1 = 3$; $m A2 = 1$; $m B =$	8 x m/n	
	0.5)	On the map	
I24	Editor-in-chief/editor or member of the editorial board of a	4 x m	
	peer-reviewed journal	In the	
	Web of Science indexed journal $(m = 3)$	magazine	

	I24.2. Journal indexed in at least two BDI ($m = 1$) I24.3 Journal indexed in a BDI ($m = 0.5$)		
I25	Ad hoc scientific reviewer for peerreviewed and peerreviewed journals I25.1. Web of Science indexed journal I25.2. BDI indexed journal (other than WoS)	0,3/0,2 On the article	
I26	Associate professor/visiting scholar for at least one month / giving a conference or lecture to a teaching staff or doctoral students (only one point per university; not including Erasmus exchanges) 0.5 x m Institution / invitation 26.1 at a TOP 500 university according to URAP ranking (m = 3) 26.2 at a university outside the URAP top 500, following a nominal invitation from the host institution (m = 1) 26.3 Visiting lecturer/lecturer of international federations by branch of sport / IOC Olympic Academy / Professional associations international (m = 1) / national federations by branch of sport or COSR Olympic Academy (m = 0,5)	0.5 x m By institution / invitation	
I27	Director of a funded grant / coordinating institution 127.1 Director Research grant with broad public relevance obtained through international competition, awarded by an international agency / institution ($m = 3$) 127.2. A. Director of research grant with broad public relevance obtained through national competition / B. Team coordinator Romania for a research grant with broad public relevance, obtained through international competition ($m = 1$) 127.3. A. Director or partner coordinator of an institutional development grant (e.g. type POSDRU, Erasmus +, etc.) / B. Director or coordinating partner of a research grant with specific relevance (e.g. funded by a company), obtained through national or international competition / C. Partner coordinator of a research grant with broad public relevance obtained through national competition ($m = 0.5$)	9 x m On Grant	
I28	Team member of a funded grant/coordinating institution I28.1 Team member of a research grant with broad public relevance obtained through international or national competition ($m = 1$) I28.2. Team member of a research grant with specific relevance or of an institutional development grant obtained through international or national competition ($m = 0,5$)	3 x m On Grant	
I29	Mentoring / coaching 29.1. Scientific supervisor / member of the doctoral thesis supervision or evaluation committee (the total score for 29.1 is capped at a maximum of 10 points) 29.2. Mentor with an official role in mentoring postdoctoral researchers	1/0,5 On PhD student / committee	
130	 30.1. Initiating or coordinating undergraduate or postgraduate study programs 30.2. Publication of academic courses (contributions that have been included under indicators I3, I7 or I12 cannot be scored here) 30.3. Introduction of new subjects in the curriculum 	2 On the program 1/course 0,5 By discipline	
I31	Coordination of a research centre or laboratory, recognized by the University Senate or the Scientific Council of the Research Institute	2 Center	

-			г – – – т	1
I32	Project evaluator / Panel member in international $(m = 3)$ /	1 x m		
	national $(m = 1)$ research grant competitions	By		
		competition		
		edition		
I33	Expert group member	1 x m		
	33.1. Scientific commissions/councils or international bodies	By committee		
	(e.g. UNESCO, UNICEF, IOC, International Federations by			
	branches of sport, etc.) $(m = 3)$			
	33.2. Scientific commissions/councils or national bodies			
	(CNATDCU, CNCS, ANCS, ARACIS or other			
	advisory/working group at MENCS or interministerial level,			
	set up following an order issued by MENCS or another			
	ministerial body $(m = 1)$			
I34	Providing services for external beneficiaries of the institution	0,5		
	(courses or training programs / professional development in	On advised		
	the field)	course		
I35	35.1. Emeritus Coach / Emeritus Teacher / Emeritus Master	3 /2 /2		
	of Sport / International Referee / Commissioner / Observer	By title /		
	(only the qualities existing at the date of the competition entry	award /		
	are taken into account)	activity		
1	35.2. Prizes for sports activity awarded by institutions $3/2/2$	-		
1	By title / prize / activity			
	national (MTS, MENCS, COSR) or international			
	35.3. Performances of trained athletes (OG, WC, JMU -			
	places 1- 5; EC, NC - places 1), creations in the field of			
	performance sport, motor and functional recovery,			
	physiotherapy and kinetoprophylaxis			
	(methodological lines / methodologies / training strategies by			
	sport / sports test recognized in the community of specialists /			
1	federations by sport branches); prophylaxis and recovery			
1	programs through			
	kinetic means for different population categories / age groups			
	/ occupational groups / pathologies) recognized by national			
	and international professional and/or scientific bodies.			

Aria	Criteria	Core indicators / sum of indicators	Minimum standard Assistant Professor / CS II	Minimum standard Full Professor / CS I / habilitation	Calculated score
Scientific	C1	.11	4	6	
achievements	C2	I3 + I4	15	24	
(A1)	C3	Total A1 (I1++I15)	55	65	
	C4	I16	2	4	
Visibility and	C5	I27	-	4	
impact (A2)	C6	Total A2 (I16++I35)	25	55	
	C7	Grand total	80	120	

I hereby confirm that the above mentioned data are true and refer to my own professional and scientific activity.

Data_____

Candidat_____

- * The Applicant is also obliged to complete Annex 4B, to provide justifications for each activity/action paid for both in the national standards fulfillment sheet and in Annex 4B through a functional link to them and to provide the members of the committee with further information/clarifications if requested.
- * If the link does not work or the evidence submitted is not sufficiently relevant, the activity/action may not be considered by the committee members.

DATA ON THE FULFILLMENT OF THE NATIONAL MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR THE POSITION OF UNIVERSITY LECTURER domain GEOGRAPHY

1. University Assistant Professor

Criterion 1: Scientific articles

Lecturer/CS II: 4 articles as main author in journals with impact factor in the Web of Science database, with cumulative AIS \geq 3; 2 articles in BDI journals

Criterion 2: Visibility of scientific articles

Lecturer/CS II: $Hi \ge 3$

Criterion 3: Capacity to support research activities

Lecturer/CS II: Director/National Project/Grant Leader in 1 project/grant or project/grant leader in 2 national research projects/grants, or participation as member of the working team in 2 international projects/grants.

2. University Full Professor

Criterion 1: Scientific articles

Lecturer/CS I/Accreditation: 5 articles as main author in journals with impact factor in the Web of Science database, with cumulative AIS \geq 3.5; 3 articles in BDI journals

Criterion 2: Visibility of scientific articles

Teacher/CS I/Ability Hirsch Index ≥ 4

Criterion 3: Capacity to support research activities

Lecturer/Accreditation/CS I: A.: Director/Project/Grant Leader in 2 national projects/grants or Project/Grant Leader in 3 national research projects/grants or B.: Director/Leader in 1 international project/grant or Project/Grant Leader in 2 international research projects/grants.

Details for university Assistant Professor and university Full Professor posts:

- The minimum criteria must be met cumulatively.
- The main authors are the first author, the corresponding author, or another author with a contribution equal to that of the first author, if specified in the article.
- AIS = Article Influence Score from ISI Web of Science at the date of publication of the article. For articles published in journals included in the Arts & Humanities Citation Index database, an AIS equivalent to 0.8 per published article is considered.

- Supported International Databases (BDI): Web of Science, Master Journal List, ERIH PLUS, Scopus, EBSCO, ProQuest, CEEOL, Ulrichsweb, Index Copernicus, GEOREF, Genamics Journal Seek, Library of Congress Online Catalog.
- Hirsch index from ISI Web of Science, excluding self-citations.
- Projects and grants won through competition, minimum value 100000 RON or equivalent in euro.

The candidate meets/does not meet the national and mandatory minimum standards.

I hereby confirm that the above mentioned data are true and refer to my own professional and scientific activity.

Data_____

Candidat_____

- * The applicant is obliged to complete also Annex 4C, to provide justifications for each activity/action paid for both in the national standards fulfillment sheet and in Annex 4C through a functional link to them and to provide the members of the committee with further information/clarifications if requested.
- * If the link does not work or the evidence submitted is not sufficiently relevant, the activity/action may not be considered by the committee members.

Annex 4A to the Competition Methodology for filling teaching and research posts posts of university assistant/lecturer

ASSESSMENT REPORT of the candidate for the post of UNIVERSITY ASSISTANT/LECTURER

CANDIDATE DATA

SURNAME	FIRST	NAME		
Surname	CNP Disciplines	Position	applied	for
Position in the Staff	Department		I	Faculty

I. EVALUATION OF TEACHING AND SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITY

It is based on the documents in the competition file and the tests. A maximum of 10 points, without decimals, will be awarded for each indicator. The final mark for test I is the arithmetical average of the marks obtained for each indicator, rounded down to two decimal places (where applicable).

No. crt.	Indicator	Degree of achievement of the indicator	Score	
1.	The relevance and impact of the candidate's scientific achievements in relation to the field of the disciplines of the post for which he/she is applying	The candidate has/does not have significant scientific achievements relevant to the post for which he/she is applying.		
2.	Ability to mentor students or young researchers	The candidate has/does not have the ability to mentor students or young researchers.		
3.	The candidate's teaching skills	The candidate has/does not have the teaching skills required for the position of university assistant/lecturer.		
4.	Ability of the candidate to transfer his/her knowledge and results to the economic or social environment or to popularize his/her own scientific results	The candidate has/does not have the capacity to transfer the results obtained to the socio-economic environment and to popularize scientific results.		
5.	Ability to work in a team and effectiveness of scientific collaborations	The candidate has/does not have the ability to work in a team and has/does		

		not have efficiency through scientific collaborations.	
6.	Ability to lead R&D projects	The candidate presents/does not present favorable prerequisites in terms of ability to conduct R&D projects.	
7.	Professional experience in institutions other than UO	The candidate has/has no professional experience in other institutions or organizations.	
MAR	K FOR TEST I (1+2+3+4+5+6+7) / 7	1	

Score for test I _____

REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS *MET / NOT MET*

II. WRITTEN - PRACTICAL TEST, etc. (for academic assistant posts) TEACHING (for the posts of lecturer or supervisor)

The candidate has / does not have the knowledge and skills required for the post.

Points awarded: minimum (mandatory) - 8 points, maximum - 10 points

Score test II _____

FINAL SCORE _____ (Score test I + Score test II) / 2

Following the evaluation of the candidate ______, enrolled in the competition for the position of ______, position _____, Department ______, Faculty ______, by ranking the results of the candidates (if applicable), he/she occupies the position _____ and I propose to **fill / not to fill** the position for which he/she applied.

Date _____

Committee member

Annex no. 4B to the competition procedure for filling teaching and research posts for the post of Assistant Professor /Full Professor in field SPORT SCIENCE AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION

ASSESSMENT REPORT of the candidate for the post of ASSISTANT PROFESSOR / UNIVERSITY FULL PROFESSOR field SPORT SCIENCE AND PHYSICAL EDUCATION

CANDIDATE DATA

SURNAME			FIRST NAME				CNP		
Position	for	which	you	are	appl	ying			Disciplines
			Po	osition	in	the	Staff		_ Department Faculty

I. EVALUATION OF TEACHING AND SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITY

Clarifications:

- the definitions, conditions and procedures laid down in the national minimum standards for the filling of teaching posts, specific to the teaching function of Assistant Professor and full professor, shall be taken into account in the framing of activities;
- an action/activity can only be scored once;
- the candidate must get:
 - minimum 120 points for the post of Assistant Professor
 - minimum 160 points for the Full Professor post

No. Crt.	Score	Auto evaluation (Total= No. x unit score)	Evaluation committee member
----------	-------	---	-----------------------------------

A. The relevance and impact of the candidate's scientific achievements in relation to the field of the disciplines of the post for which he/she is applying

1.	Contributions <i>in extenso of article</i> or <i>review</i> type, published in journals indexed in the Web of Science (ISI), with an IF greater than or equal to <i>p</i> , realized as main author	3 + (3 x IF) On the article	
2.	Contributions in extenso of article or review type, published in journals indexed in Web of Science (ISI), whose IF is less than p or in journals not indexed in Web of Science (IF = 0), but indexed in at least two recognized international databases, at least one of which is in extenso (full-text), and done as main author	3 + IF On the article	

3.	Contributions in extenso of article or review type,	3 + [(3 x IF)	
	published in journals indexed in the Web of Science (ISI),	/n]	
	with an IF greater than or equal to p, realized as co-author	On the article	
4.	Contributions in extenso of article or review type,	(3 + IF) / n	
	published in journals indexed in the Web of Science (ISI),	On the article	
	whose IF is less than p or in journals not indexed in the		
	Web of Science (IF = 0), but indexed in at least two		
	recognized international databases, at least one of which is		
	in extenso (full-text), and made as co-author		
5.	Other articles in extenso published as author / co-author in	1/n	
	nationally recognized scientific journals (with ISSN or	On the article	
	eISSN)		
6.	Awards and distinctions	4 x m	
	6.1. Awards for scientific work offered by prestigious	On the prize	
	international (m = 3) or national (CNCS, etc.) (m = 1)	1	
	scientific institutions or scientific/professional associations		
	(m = 1) (not including travel grants or awards for articles in		
	the red, yellow, etc.)		
	6.2. Obtaining prestigious results in the course of the		
	activity regarding the promotion of the country and		
	Romanian education (e.g. distinctions, medals received by		
	athletes, coaches, other specialists for results at the		
	Olympic Games, World Championships, EC, etc., offered		
	by the Romanian Presidency, MENCS, MTS, etc.)		
	SCORE A		
-			
	B. Ability to mentor students or	young research	ers
1.	Mentoring / coaching	1/0,5	
	1.1. Scientific supervisor / member of the doctoral thesis	On PhD student	
	supervision or evaluation committee	/ committee	
	(the total score for 29.1 is capped at a maximum of 10	, committee	
	points)		
	1.2. Mentor in an official postdoctoral researcher		
	mentoring role		
2.	Coordination of a research centre or laboratory, recognized	2	
2.	by the University Senate or the Scientific Council of the	Center	
	Research Institute	Conter	
3.	Other actions/activities mentoring students or young	1	
5.	researchers	By activity over	
		the last 3 years	
	SCORE B	the fast 5 years	
-			<u> </u>
	C. The candidate's teac	hing skills	
1.	Books published as main author in A1 classified publishing	12 x m	
	houses	On the map	
	or A2 (m A1 = 3; m A2 = 1)	rr	
2.	Chapters in books published as main author in A1 or A2 (m	3 x m	
	A1 = 3; m A2 = 1)	By chapter	
3.	Books published as co-author in A1 or A2 (m A1 = 3; m	12 x m/n	
	A2 = 1)	On the map	
4.	Chapters in co-authored books published in A1 or A2 (m	3 x m/n	
	A1 = 3; m A2 = 1)	By chapter	
5.	Books published as author / co-author in type B (m B =	12 x m/n	
0.	0,5)	On the map	
6.	Chapters in books published as author / co-author in B-	3 x m/n	
0.	ranked publishers (m B = $0,5$)	By chapter	
7.	Author / co-author of reports analyzing educational	8 x m/n	
/.	policies/strategies	On the report	
	1. international reports $(m = 3)$;		
	-1.110000000000000000000000000000000000	1	i I

0	2. national reports (m = 1)			
8.	1. Initiation or coordination of undergraduate or	2		
	postgraduate study programs	On the program		
	2. Introduction of new subjects in the curriculum	0,5		
		By discipline		
9.	Providing services for external beneficiaries of the	0,5		
	institution (courses or training programs / professional	On advised		
	development in the field)	course		
10.	Published courses, textbooks, handbooks, tutorials, student	1		
	guides, published materials in support of student learning	On publication/		
	or other actions/activities that demonstrate the candidate's	action		
	teaching competences			
	SCORE C			
	D Ability of the own didate to the modern his them have		14 41	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	D. Ability of the candidate to transfer his/her know social environment or to popularize h	•		
	* *	ismer own seler		3
1.	Works in extenso (proceedings type) indexed in WoS or	1		
	other recognized BDI, realized as main author, published in	By work		
	the proceedings of international conferences, relevant to the			
	field of habilitation, available in full-text format in at least			
	one BDI (in case of I9 and I10, a maximum of two			
	contributions / conference edition can be scored			
	cumulatively)			
2.	Papers in extenso (type proceedings) indexed in WoS or	1/n		
	other recognized BDI, co-authored, published in the	By work		
	proceedings of international conferences, relevant to the	-		
	field of habilitation, available in full-text format in at least			
	one BDI			
3.	Patents / copyrights / trademarks registered OSIM/ORDA,	3/n		
	as a result of a scientific innovation approach in order to	On		
	develop curricular materials, psychological or educational	patent/copyright		
	tests, motor / functional tests, specialized software, etc.	1 10 0		
4.	Citations of the candidate's publications in Web of Science	0,5		
	indexed papers (self-citations are excluded)	Per quote		
5.	Other citations of the candidate's publications (self-	m/10		
	citations are excluded)	per citation		
	5.1 Available in works classified A1 $(m = 3)$	1		
	5.2. Available in A2 works or in the Scopus database			
	(other than those already included in I16) $(m = 1)$			
	5.3. Available in B-ranked papers or other academically			
	identifiable Google Scholar sources (other than those			
	already included) ($m = 0.5$)			
6.	Keynote speaker (plenary scientific communication) at	2 x m		
0.	international ($m = 3$) / national ($m = 1$) conferences	On the		
	international ($m = 3$) / national ($m = 1$) conferences	conference		
7.	Other actions/activities demonstrating the candidate's	1		
/.	ability to transfer his/her knowledge and results to the	Per action/		
	economic or social environment or to popularize his/her	activity		
	own scientific results			
	SCORE D			
	E. Ability to work in a team and effectivene	ess of scientific	collaboratio	ons

1.	Member of the scientific committee (A) / Scientific referee for the evaluation and selection of conference papers (B) / Member of the organizing committee (C) / Symposium	1 x m On the conference	
	coordinator (Chair) (D) (one mark is required) single quality / conference) 1.1 International conferences ($m = 3$) 1.2 National conferences ($m = 1$)		

2.	President or executive committee member of an	2 x m		
Ζ.	international $(m = 3)$ or national $(m = 1)$ professional	By association		
	association $(m = 3)$ or national $(m = 1)$ professional	By association		
3.	Coordinator of a book collection	6		
5.	Coordinator of a book conection	By collection		
4.	Domain relevant coordinate map (m A1 = 3; m A2 = 1; m	8 x m/n		
4.				
~	B = 0.5)	On the map		
5.	Editor-in-chief/editor or member of the editorial board of a	4 x m		
	peer-reviewed journal	In the magazine		
	5.1. Web of Science indexed journal $(m = 3)$			
	5.2. Journal indexed in at least two BDI $(m = 1)$			
	5.3 Journal indexed in a BDI ($m = 0.5$)			
6.	Ad hoc scientific reviewer for peerreviewed and	0,3/0,2		
	peerreviewed journals	On the article		
	6.1. Web of Science indexed journal			
	6.2. BDI indexed journal (other than WoS)			
7.	Responsible for or member of the team responsible for the	10/7		
	elaboration of the institutional evaluation reports of the	Responsible/		
	University of Oradea	Member		
8.	Other actions/activities demonstrating the candidate's	1		
	ability to work in a team and the effectiveness of his/her	Per action/		
	scientific collaborations, depending on the specifics of the	activity over the		
	candidate's field	last 3 years		
	SCORE E	lust 5 years		
	F. Ability to lead R&L) projects		
1.	Director of a funded grant / coordinating institution	9 x m		
	1.1 Director research grant with broad public relevance	On Grant		
	obtained through international competition, awarded by an			
	international agency / institution ($m = 3$)			
	1.2. A. Director of research grant with broad public			
	relevance obtained through national competition / B. Team			
	coordinator Romania for a research grant with broad public			
	• •			
	relevance obtained through international competition ($m = 1$)			
	1) 1.2 A Director constraints of a institutional			
	1.3. A. Director or partner coordinator of an institutional			
	development grant (e.g. type POSDRU, Erasmus +, etc.)/			
	B. Director or partner coordinator of a research grant with			
	specific relevance (e.g. funded by a company), obtained			
	through national or international competition / C. Partner			
	coordinator of a research grant with broad public relevance			
	obtained through national competition ($m = 0.5$)			
2.	Team member of a funded grant/coordinating institution	3 x m		
	2.1 Team member of a research grant with broad public	On Grant		
	relevance obtained through international or national			
	competition $(m = 1)$			
	2.2. Team member of a research grant of specific relevance			
	or an institutional development grant obtained through			
	international or national competition ($m = 0,5$)			
3.	Project evaluator / Panel member in international $(m = 3)$ /	1 x m		
5.				
	national $(m = 1)$ research grant competitions	By competition		
4	Other estimal stirition down which is the starting of the	edition		
4.	Other actions/activities demonstrating the applicant's			
	ability to conduct R&D projects	Per action/		
		L ootstatt	1 I	
	E GOODE	activity		
	F SCORE	activity		

1.	Associate professor/visiting scholar for at least one month /	0.5 x m	
	giving a conference or lecture to a teaching staff or doctoral	By institution /	
	students (only one point per university;	invitation	
	not including Erasmus exchanges) 0.5 x m Institution /		
	invitation		
	1.1 at a TOP 500 university according to URAP ranking (m		
	= 3)		
	1.2 at a university outside the URAP top 500, following a		
	nominal invitation from the host institution $(m = 1)$		
	1.3 guest lecturer / lecturer of international federations by		
	branch of sport / IOC Olympic Academy / professional		
	associations		
	international $(m = 1) / national federations by branch of$		
	sport or COSR Olympic Academy $(m = 0,5)$		
2.	Expert group member	1 x m	
	2.1. Scientific commissions/councils or international bodies	By committee	
	(e.g. UNESCO, UNICEF, IOC, International Federations		
	by branches of sport, etc.) $(m = 3)$		
	2.2. Scientific commissions/councils or national bodies		
	(CNATDCU, CNCS, ANCS, ARACIS or other advisory/working group at MENCS or interministerial		
	advisory/working group at MENCS or interministerial		
	level, set up following an order issued by MENCS or another ministerial heady $(m = 1)$		
3.	another ministerial body $(m = 1)$ 3.1. Emeritus Coach / Emeritus Teacher / Emeritus Master	3 /2 /2	
э.	of Sport / International Referee / Commissioner / Observer	By title / award	
	(only the qualities existing at the date of the competition	/ activity	
	entry are taken into account)	/ activity	
	3.2. Awards for sporting activity awarded by institutions 3 /		
	2/2 By title / award / activity		
	national (MTS, MENCS, COSR) or international		
	3.3. Performances of trained athletes (Olympic Games,		
	WC, JMU - places 1- 5; EC, NC - places 1), creations in		
	the field of performance sport, motor and functional		
	recovery, physiotherapy and kinetoprophylaxis		
	(methodological lines / methodologies / training strategies		
	by sport / sports test recognized in the community of		
	specialists / federations by sport branches); prophylaxis and		
	recovery programs through		
	kinetic means for different population categories / age		
	groups / occupational groups / pathologies) recognized by		
	national and international professional and/or scientific		
	bodies.		
4.	Other actions/activities demonstrating the candidate's	1	
	professional experience in institutions other than UO as an	Per action/	
	employee and representative of UO	activity	
	G SCORE		
	TOTAL SCORE		

Score for test I _____

REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS *MET / NOT MET*

II. TEACHING (for Assistant Professor /Full Professor posts)

Points awarded: minimum (mandatory) - 8 points, maximum - 10 points

Scoring for test II _____

III. LECTURE ON THE ACADEMIC CAREER DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Points awarded: minimum (mandatory) - 8 points, maximum - 10 points Score for test III

FINAL SCORE _____ (sum of the scores obtained in assessments I, II, III)

The candidate has / does not have the knowledge and skills required for the post.

Following the evaluation of the candidate	, enrolled in	the
competition for the post of	_, position, Department	,
Faculty	, by ranking the results of the candidates	(if
applicable), he/she occupies the position and	d I propose to fill / not to fill the post for which he/	/she
applied.		

Date _____

Committee member

UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA

Annex no. 4C

to the competition for filling teaching and research posts in GEOGRAPHY

ASSESSMENT REPORT of the candidate for the post of ASSISTANT PROFESSOR /FULL PROFESSOR *GEOGRAPHY*

CANDIDATE DATA

NUME		_PRENUM	IE			
CNP		Postul	pentru	care	candidează	
Poziția	_Disciplina					
Departamentul						
Facultatea						·

I. EVALUATION OF TEACHING AND SCIENTIFIC ACTIVITY

The candidate must get:

- > minimum **30 points** for the post of **Assistant Professor**
- > minimum **50 points** for the **Full Professor** post

Nr. crt.		Unit score	Self- assessment (Total = No. x unit score)	Evaluation committee member
<i>A</i> .	Relevance and impact of the candidate's scientific achievend	ents in relation to	o the disciplinar	ry area of the
	post for which he/she is a	oplying		
1	Full-text articles in ISI-listed journals - Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE), Social Sciences Citation Index (SCCI), Web of science	$4+F_i$ On the article		
2	Full-text articles in ISI-indexed journals in Arts &Humanities Citation Index (Database without impact factor, each article equals AIS=0.8)	4+0,8 On the article		
3	Articles in extenso published in ISI indexed journals and proceedings	1 / On the article		
4	Full-text articles published in journals indexed in international databases (BDI)	1/ On the article		
5	Articles published in abstract at international congresses, in abstract volumes with ISBN - author/co-author	0.5/ On the article		
6	Articles published in abstracts at national events, in abridged volumes or journal supplement with ISBN - author/co-author	0.5/ On the article		
7	Other articles in extenso published as author / co-author in nationally recognized scientific journals (with ISSN or eISSN)	1/n On the article		

	-		
8	Citations of the candidate's publications (excluding self- citations) in ISI, Arts&Humanities Citation Index (including proceedings)	0.4/year/citare	
9	Citations of the candidate's publications in articles in ISI- indexed journals, books/book chapters/volumes published under the aegis of international publishers (excluding self- citations)	0.3/n /citare _a	
10	Citations of the candidate's publications in articles published in BDI-indexed journals and in books/volumes published under the aegis of CNCS-recognized publishers (excluding self-citations)	0.2/year/citare	
11	Hirsch index value from ISI Web of Science	Hirsch Index x 2	
	A score		
	B. Ability to mentor students or you	ung researchers	
1	Finalized/coordinated doctoral theses	2/1 Doctoral student	
2	Member of the doctoral students guidance committee	0.5/commission	
3	Coordination of student scientific circle	1/year	
4	Coordinated bachelor's/master's thesis (last 3 years)	0.25/coordinate d work	
5	Conducting scientific work in research teams with the training of students/masters/docs/ young teachers (researchers) proven by: a.joint publications: ISI papers/BDI papers/books/book/book chapters/atlases/published maps/courses under the aegis of international or CNCS- recognized publishers b.Research grants/contracts/projects/programs (international national)	a. 3/2/1 b. 2/1	
6	Other actions/activities mentoring students or young researchers	1/activity in the last 3 years	
	Score B		
	C. Candidate's teaching	skills	
1	Teaching activities (coursework/practical work) - in the final year	1/0,5/ discipline	
2	Published courses, textbooks, handbooks, tutorials, student guides or other published materials to support student learning	2/course	
3	Developing specialized teaching material for students in electronic format	1/material	
	C score		
D. A	Ability of the candidate to transfer his/her knowledge and res to popularize his/her own scier		mic or social environment or
1	Books/lectures published as sole author or co-author in international publishing houses	8x3/na/ map	
		-	

2			
3	Chapbooks in collective volumes published under the aegis of international publishers and found in at least 6 Worldcat registered libraries	4x3/na/ chapter	
4	Books/atlases/maps published in national publishing houses recognized by CNCS	2x1,5/n/card	
5	Chapters in collective volumes published under the aegis of CNCS-recognized publishing houses	1,5x1/na/ chapter	
6	Doctoral thesis published by a CNCS recognized publisher	2	
7	Other actions/activities demonstrating the candidate's ability to transfer his/her knowledge and results to the economic or social environment or to popularize his/her own scientific results	1 Per action/ activity	
	Score D		
	E. Ability to work in a team and effectiveness	of scientific colla	borations
1	Editor-in-Chief/Member of editorial boards A, ISI journals	2/1	
2	Editor-in-Chief/Member of editorial boards of BDI journals	1/0,5	
3	Scientific reviewer for peerreviewed and peerreviewed journals (Web of Science indexed journal/ BDI indexed journal	0,5/0,25	
4	Drawing up institutional files for authorization/accreditation	0,25/ dose	
5	Other actions/activities demonstrating the candidate's ability to work in a team and the effectiveness of his/her scientific collaborations, depending on the specifics of the candidate's	1 Per action/	
	field	activity over the last 3 years	
	field	the last 3 years	
1	field E score	the last 3 years	
1 2	field E score F. Ability to conduct R&D Director/project leader/leader/head of an international	the last 3 years <i>projects</i>	
	field E score <i>F. Ability to conduct R&D</i> Director/project leader/leader/head of an international research project/grant Director/project leader/leader/leader of a national research	the last 3 years projects 6/3	
2	field E score <i>F. Ability to conduct R&D</i> Director/project leader/leader/head of an international research project/grant Director/project leader/leader/leader of a national research project/grant Team member in international/national research	the last 3 years projects 6/3 4/2	
2 3	field E score <i>F. Ability to conduct R&D</i> Director/project leader/leader/head of an international research project/grant Director/project leader/leader/leader of a national research project/grant Team member in international/national research projects/grants Other actions/activities demonstrating the applicant's ability to lead R&D projects or projects with the socio-economic	the last 3 years projects 6/3 4/2 2/1 1 By action/	
2 3	field E score <i>F. Ability to conduct R&D</i> Director/project leader/leader/head of an international research project/grant Director/project leader/leader/leader of a national research project/grant Team member in international/national research projects/grants Other actions/activities demonstrating the applicant's ability to lead R&D projects or projects with the socio-economic environment	the last 3 years projects 6/3 4/2 2/1 1 By action/ activity	
2	field E score <i>F. Ability to conduct R&D</i> Director/project leader/leader/head of an international research project/grant Director/project leader/leader/leader of a national research project/grant Team member in international/national research projects/grants Other actions/activities demonstrating the applicant's ability to lead R&D projects or projects with the socio-economic environment F score	the last 3 years projects 6/3 4/2 2/1 1 By action/ activity	
2 3 4	field E score <i>F. Ability to conduct R&D</i> Director/project leader/leader/head of an international research project/grant Director/project leader/leader/leader of a national research project/grant Team member in international/national research projects/grants Other actions/activities demonstrating the applicant's ability to lead R&D projects or projects with the socio-economic environment F score <i>G. Professional experience in instituti</i>	the last 3 years projects 6/3 4/2 2/1 By action/ activity Fons other than U	
2 3 4	field E score <i>F. Ability to conduct R&D</i> Director/project leader/leader/head of an international research project/grant Director/project leader/leader/leader of a national research project/grant Team member in international/national research projects/grants Other actions/activities demonstrating the applicant's ability to lead R&D projects or projects with the socio-economic environment F score <i>G. Professional experience in instituti</i> Visiting/associate teacher at universities abroad/country Internships in institutions abroad: less than 3 months/more	the last 3 years projects 6/3 4/2 2/1 By action/ activity fons other than U 2/1	

Score for test I _____

REQUIREMENTS AND STANDARDS *MET / NOT MET*

II. TEACHING (for Assistant Professor /Full Professor posts)

Points awarded: minimum (mandatory) - 8 points, maximum - 10 points

Score test II _____

III. LECTURE ON THE ACADEMIC CAREER DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Points awarded: minimum (mandatory) - 8 points, maximum - 10 points

Score for test III _____

FINAL SCORE _____ (sum of the scores obtained in assessments I, II, III)

The candidate has / does not have the knowledge and skills required for the post.

Following the evaluation of the candidate			, enrolled in the
competition for the position of	, position	, Department	,
Faculty	, by ran	king the results	of the candidates (if
applicable), he/she occupies the position a	nd I propose to	o fill / not to fill	the position for which
he/she applied.			

Date _____

Committee member

UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA

Annex no. 5 to the Competition Methodology for filling teaching and research posts

REPORT on the competition for teaching and research posts Sesiunea _____

:_____

Competition committee members (name, surname, teaching title, institutional affiliation):

Presient

Member

:_____

Me	ember	r	:											
Me	ember	r	:											
Me	ember	r	:											
appointed	by	the	decision	of	the	Rector	of	the	Universi	ty o	f Oradea	n no.		on
		!	for the ev	valuat	ion	of the car	ndida	tes r	egistered	for th	e compet	ition f	or the vacant p	oost
of				,			posit	ion		_	,		Discipli	nes
								_,						
The Depa	rtmer	nt						_, F	Faculty _					,
following	the c	comp	etition pr	ocedı	are i	in accor	dance	e wi	th the Fa	culty	s own p	rocedı	ure, draw up	the
following	repor	t:												

I. DATA ON CANDIDATES WHO HAVE APPLIED

1. Registered candidates:

2. Candidates present for the written - practical test / didactic lecture:

II. RESULTS ACHIEVED

(candidates will be listed in descending order of the final mark obtained)

Nr. crt.	Registered candidates		Requireme nts and standards met		Points for the chair and members of the committee				
		FRO M	NO	Р	1	2	3	4	
1									
2									
3									

·
III. DECISION OF THE SELECTION BOARD
Following the evaluation of the professional and scientific activity and of the competition tests held, the Committee proposes, with 'for', 'against' and 'abstentions', that Ms
be appointed to the post for which the competition
was organized.
Date:
Presientle comisiei
Membrii comisiei
V. CONTEST
No objections / Objection lodged (objector, reasoning):
·
VI. DECISION OF THE SELECTION BOARD
Modul de soluționare a contestației
Date:
Presientle comisiei
Membrii comisiei



UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA

Annex no. 6 to the Competition Methodology for filling teaching and research posts

DECLARATION

I,, having won the competition for the teaching post of, declare on my own responsibility that:

a.	I am not in any of the situations of incompatibility provided by the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023 and the Charter of the University of Oradea.
b.	I find myself in the following incompatibilities provided by the Law on Higher Education no. 199/2023 and the Charter of the University of Oradea:

Full name:

Date:

Signature

UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA

Annex no. 7 to the Competition Methodology for filling teaching and research posts

Agreement and information on the processing of personal data

The	undersigned/a				,	residing
at	, cour	nty	, street	ī	, no	,
bl, sc	, ap,	holder of an	ID card	series,	nr	, issued
by	, dated	,	CNP		, partio	cipant in
the competition	n organized by the Uni	versity of Oradea	a, I hereby	consent to the	use and processir	ng by the
University of	Oradea of my persona	al data contained	l in the do	ocuments subr	nitted for the con	npetition
application.						

I agree that my name and surname may be used for the display of the lists with the results of the competition.

I declare that I have been informed of all my rights under the "Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data" and that the data provided will be treated confidentially, will be protected, will not be transmitted to any third party except on the legal grounds.

They will be used for the purposes of organizing and conducting the competition, in compliance with all legal provisions. Your full name, telephone number and e-mail address will be processed and used to keep you properly informed during the competition.

Date _____

Signature _____